

1FW/AF

In re the Application of:

Art Unit: 3744

Andrew R. WEISENBERGER et al.

Application Number: 10/621,859

Examiner: Chen Wen Jiang

Filed: July 16, 2003

Confirmation Number: 1761

For: MOISTURE REDUCTION AND MOLD AND MOISTURE

DAMAGE PREVENTATIVE SYSTEM AND METHOD IN

CONSTRUCTION

Attorney Docket Number:

062374

Customer Number:

38834

Response to Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 August 8, 2008

Sir:

In response to the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief dated July 9, 2008, a corrected section (III) Status of Claims is submitted herewith. The Status of Claims has been corrected to include the status of cancelled claims 15-21.

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

(Thomas E. Brown Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 44,450

Telephone: (202) 822-1100 Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

TEB/nrp

Attachment: Section (III) Status of Claims

Response to Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief

Application Serial No.: 10/621,859

Attorney Docket No.: 062374

(III) STATUS OF CLAIMS

Pending claims 1-5, 7-13, 22 and 24-29 stand rejected. Claims 6, 14-21 and 23 have been cancelled. No claims have been allowed or objected to. The claims on appeal are claims 1-5, 7-13, 22 and 24-29.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

AUG 0 8 2008

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

EXAMINER

| | _, |
|-----------------|----|
| APPLICATION NO. | |

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

CONFIRMATION NO.

10/621,859

07/16/2003

Andrew R. Weisenberger

062374

1761

38834

7590

07/09/2008

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW

SUITE 700

WASHINGTON, DC 20036

RECEWED

JUL 1 0 2008

WESTERMAN HATTOR! DANIELS AND ADRIAN LLP

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 07/09/2008

SCANNED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Who Compliant App Brief

DOCKETING

NITIALS:

TYPE OF RESPONSE:

DUE DATE:

| | | 1 | 1 4 11 | | |
|---|---|--|------------------------|--------------|--|
| Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37) | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | OIPE | |
| | | 10/621,859 | WEISENBERGER ET | AL. | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | AUG 0 8 2008 | |
| | JIANG | 3744 | 0 8 7008 | | |
| - | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | 20 | |
| The A | opeal Brief filed on <u>30 June 2008</u> is defective for | failure to comply with one or mor | re provisions of 37 CF | R 41.37. | |
| 1205.0 | oid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file and 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the NSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRAI | he mailing date of this Notification | • | | |
| 1. | The brief does not contain the items required u heading or in the proper order. | nder 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the iter | ns are not under the p | roper | |
| 2. 🛚 | The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)). | | | | |
| 3. | At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)). | | | | |
| 4. | (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)). | | | | |
| 5. 🗌 | The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)) | | | | |
| 6. | The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)). | | | | |
| 7. | The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)). | appealed claims as an appendix | thereto (37 CFR | | |
| 8. 🗌 | The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)). | | | | |
| 9. 🗌 | The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interference $41.37(c)(1)(x)$). | • | | | |
| 10. | Other (including any explanation in support of the | e above items): | | | |
| | (2) The brief does not contain the status of cancelled | claims 15-21 in the status of claims s | section. | | |
| | | fenll R | | | |

REGINALD TYSON PATENT APPEALS SPECIALIST

571-272-1634